

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/070,290 | 02/28/2002 | Toshio Kazama | AB-1215 US | 3057 | |
| 7 | 590 03/19/2004 | EXAMINER | | | |
| MACPHERS | ON KWOK CHEN & | TSUKERMAN, LARISA Z | | | |
| 1762 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE SUITE 226 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SAN JOSE, C | A 95110 | 2833 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · 1-7 | . 12 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. |] * | Applicant(s) | • | | | |
| | 087 1-47 0 | 10/070,290 | 1 | (AZAMA, TOSHIO | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | 1 | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Larisa Z Tsukerma | | 833 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover s | heet with the cor | respondence addres | s | | | |
| THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, howeve y within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be | r, may a reply be timely um of thirty (30) days w ((6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONED | r filed ill be considered timely. mailing date of this commur (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on RC | E dt.01/26/2004 . | | | | | | |
| 2a)[☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | nis action is non-fina | ıt. | | | | | |
| 3)□ Dispositi | Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under ton of Claims | | | | erits is | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the ap | oplication. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) 🗀 | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 . | The specification is objected to by the Examine | ег. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) 🗌 - | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U | J.S.C. § 119(a)-(| (d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)[| a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17 | .2(a)). | in this National Stag | е | | | |
| | acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | • | | (to a provisional app | lication). | | | |
| a |) | ovisional application | has been recei | ved. | · | | | |
| Attachment | | • | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) 🔲 N | | PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152 | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re | | tion Summary | Pa | art of Paper No. 2004030 | 3 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/070,290

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/25/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 2, 4, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by DiRenzo (3599326) in view of Onodera et al. (6133537).

In regard to claims 1, 9 and 10, DiRenzo discloses a conductive contact member 12 for establishing an electric contact by being applied to an object to be contacted that includes solid solder, comprising a layer of highly electrically conductive material (silver sulfide) resistant to solder deposition (see Fig. 6 and Col. 1, lines 71-72 and Col. 3, lines 1-9, 22-24) wherein the layer being formed at least over a conductive contact part of the conductive contact member so that the conductive contact part of the conductive contact member (Col. 3, lines 22-25) may not be contaminated by deposition of solder

Application/Control Number: 10/070,290

Art Unit: 2833

from the object to be contacted. However, DiRenzo lacks that **the layer** essentially consisting of **1**) gold containing a small amount of silver/ or **2**) an alloy of gold added with silver/ or **3**) homogeneous mixture of gold added with silver, the layer being formed at least over a conductive contact part of the conductive contact member so that the conductive contact part of the conductive contact member (Col. 3, lines 22-25) may not be contaminated by deposition of solder from the object to be contacted. Onodera et al. teach contact comprises of AuAg(Pd) alloy that has a high anti - adhesion property and a highly stable contact resistance (see Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made and **for the same reason** to use AuAg(Pd) alloy, as taught by Onodera et al., in structure of DiRenzo.

In regard to claim 2, DiRenzo discloses the layer formed by plating (Col.3, lines 22-24)
In regard to claim 5, DiRenzo discloses the conductive contact member is selected
from the group consisting of a needle member having a pointed end (see Fig. 6).
In regard to claim 4, DiRenzo modified by Onodera et al. discloses most of the claimed invention except for that silver is added to gold by 0.01 to 8%.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add silver to gold in such range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 2833

In regard to claim 6, DiRenzo modified by Onodera et al. discloses most of the claimed invention except for that the conductive member made of steel.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the conductive member made of steel, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Claims 1, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akram et al. (6426642) in view of Onodera et al. (6133537).

Akram et al. discloses a conductive contact member 62 <u>for</u> establishing an electric contact by being applied to an object to be contacted that includes solid solder, comprising a plated layer of highly electrically conductive material resistant to solder deposition 64 (see Fig. 22-24) and the layer being formed at least over a conductive contact part of the conductive contact member so that the conductive contact part of the conductive contact member may not be contaminated by deposition of solder from the object to be contacted formed at least over a conductive **contact part** of the contact member. However, Akram et al. does not disclose that that **the layer** essentially consisting of 1) gold containing a small amount of silver/ or 2) an alloy of gold added with silver/ or 3) homogeneous mixture of gold added with silver, the layer being formed at least over a conductive contact part of the conductive contact member so that the conductive contact part of the conductive contact member so that the

be contaminated by deposition of solder from the object to be contacted. Onodera et al. teach contact comprises of AuAg(Pd) alloy that has a high anti - adhesion property and a highly stable contact resistance (see Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made and for the same reason to use AuAg(Pd) alloy, as taught by Onodera et al., in structure of Akram et al.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiRenzo (3599326) in view of Onodera et al. (6133537), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Loranger et al. (5791914).

In regard to claim 7, DiRenzo as modified by Onodera et al. discloses most of the claimed invention except for the contact member is in a form of a compression coil spring. Loranger et al. teach the contact member is in a form of a compression coil spring 11 (see Fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made further modify DiRenzo's invention by constructing a contact as taught by Loranger et al. in order to provide a better flexibility of contact or wiping function. Also, as a result of this modification the solder resistant layer is formed around a coil wire forming the coil spring.

In regard to claim 8, DiRenzo as modified by Onodera et al. and Loranger et al. discloses the contact member 11 is in a form of a compression coil spring having a contact part 29 in a form of closely wound turns of a coil wire (see Fig.5) and the solder resistant layer is formed over an outer surface of the closely wound turns.

Art Unit: 2833

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, and 4-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Paszkiet et al. (5928568).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larisa Z Tsukerman whose telephone number is (571)-272-2015. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A Bradley can be reached on (571)-272-2800 ex. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

L.T. March 03, 2004

> THO D. TA PRIMARY EXAMINER

lu darte